



Resistance to Commonly Used Antimicrobial Agents in Bacterial Pathogens Isolated from Urinary Tract Infections in tertiary care teaching hospital

Authors

Suren Kumar Das¹, Swayam Prabha Sahoo², Debabrata Kumar Sabat¹

¹Department of Urology, IMS and SUM Hospital, Siksha O Anusandhan University, K 8, Kalinga Nagar, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India

² Medical Research Laboratory, IMS and SUM Hospital, Siksha O Anusandhan University, K 8, Kalinga Nagar, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India

*Corresponding Author

Dr Debabrata Kumar Sabat

Associate Professor, Dept of Urology, IMS and SUM Hospital, Bhubaneswar, 751003, Odisha, India

Email: debabrat78@gmail.com

Abstract

The point of this examination was to get information on powerlessness examples of pathogens in charge of both network and healing center urinary tract contaminations (UTIs); and broke down hazard factors for disease caused by ciprofloxacin-safe *Escherichia coli* and expanded range β -lactamase (ESBL)- creating strains in Bhubaneswar, Odisha. Of 1,012 pee societies tentatively examined, a sum of 196 (19.3%) yielded noteworthy development of a solitary life form. The most well-known segregate (60.7%) was *Escherichia coli*. The anti-infection agents regularly utilized in UTIs are less compelling with the exception of Fosfomycin-trometamol and imipinem. The utilization of ciprofloxacin in the past a half year (chances proportion [OR] = 7.59 [1.75– 32.74]), utilization of different anti-toxins in the past a half year (OR = 1.02 [1.02– 2.34]), and creation of ESBL (OR = 19.32 [2.62– 142.16]) were observed to be related with ciprofloxacin obstruction among the *E. coli* disengages. Hazard factors for ESBL inspiration were the utilization of ciprofloxacin and third-age cephalosporin in the former a half year (OR = 3.05 [1.42– 6.58] or potentially = 9.78 [2.71– 35.25], individually); and being an inpatient (OR = 2.27 [1.79– 2.89]). Fosfomycin-trometamol could be incorporated as a sensible option for the treatment of uncomplicated UTI in Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

Introductions

Urinary tract disease (UTI) is a standout amongst the most vital reasons for horribleness in the all inclusive community, and is the second most normal reason for clinic visits⁽¹⁾. With propelling age, the rate of UTI increments in men because of prostate amplification and neurogenic bladder⁽²⁾. Repetitive diseases are normal and can prompt

irreversible harm of the kidneys, bringing about renal hypertension and renal disappointment in serious cases⁽³⁾. In the network, ladies are progressively inclined to create UTI. About 20% of ladies encounter a solitary scene of UTI amid their lifetime, and 3% of ladies have more than one scene of UTI per year⁽⁴⁾. Pregnancy likewise makes them progressively powerless to

infection⁽⁵⁾. Catheter-related UTI is a trenchant issue, with about 5% of catheterised patients creating bacteriuria⁽⁶⁾. It is generally acknowledged that UTI must be learned based on microscopy and microbial culture. The dipstick/plunge slide technique utilized in numerous focuses serves just as a screening strategy yet culture is required for the last diagnosis⁽⁷⁾.

UTI is the most widely recognized reason for nosocomial disease among hospitalized patients⁽¹⁾. In practically all cases, there is a need to begin treatment before the last microbiological results are accessible. Territory explicit observing examinations intended to pick up learning about the kind of pathogens in charge of UTIs and their obstruction examples may assist the clinician with choosing the right exact treatment. Concentrates from the eastern piece of Nepal, India and Bangladesh have revealed an expanded obstruction of the urinary pathogens to normally utilized antibiotics⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. Such data was not accessible for a tertiary consideration healing center in western Nepal. Thus this examination was embraced to discover the recurrence and antimicrobial vulnerability example of the urinary pathogens confined from the pee tests of suspected nosocomial urinary tract contaminations at a tertiary consideration doctor's facility in Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

Materials and Methods

Study population and bacterial isolates. This imminent investigation was led in the two outpatients and inpatients with UTIs at the two biggest tertiary showing clinics subsequent to acquiring endorsement from the Research Ethics Committee of IMS and SUM Hospital, Bhubaneswar. This healing facilities were chosen since they have an expansive number of patients and speak to patients from extensive geological regions. Among June and November 2017 an aggregate of 1,012 pee societies were broke down in the clinical microbiology research centers of the two taking part doctor's facilities. For every

patient, information were tentatively gathered through a meeting with the patient or the patient's family, and their restorative records were checked when essential. Hazard factors for ciprofloxacin opposition were as per the following: age, sex, nearness of a urinary catheter; earlier UTI, earlier urinary catheter, hospitalization amid the earlier year; and anti-infection introduction amid the previous a half year. Every example was refined utilizing a 0.001 mL aligned circle to immunize blood agar and MacConkey agar plates, brooded at 37°C for 18– 24 hours and the quantity of provinces was checked. Huge bacteriuria was characterized as more noteworthy than 10⁵ province framing units/mL of a solitary pathogen. Confines were distinguished biochemically utilizing Triple Sugar Iron agar, Indol, Methyl Red, Voges Proskauer, and Citrate as indicated by standard microbiologic methodology.⁽¹¹⁾

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

This planned investigation was led in the two outpatients and inpatients with UTIs at the two biggest tertiary showing doctor's facilities in the wake of acquiring endorsement from the Research Ethics Committee of IMS and SUM Hospital, Bhubaneswar. This healing centers were chosen since they have a substantial number of patients and speak to patients from extensive land regions. Among June and November 2017 an aggregate of 1,012 pee societies were investigated in the clinical microbiology research centers of the two taking an interest healing facilities. For every patient, information were tentatively gathered through a meeting with the patient or the patient's family, and their medicinal records were checked when fundamental. Hazard factors for ciprofloxacin opposition were as per the following: age, sex, nearness of a urinary catheter; earlier UTI, earlier urinary catheter, hospitalization amid the earlier year; and antimicrobial introduction amid the first a half year. Every example was refined utilizing a 0.001 mL adjusted circle to vaccinate blood agar and MacConkey agar plates, hatched at 37°C for 18– 24 hours and the quantity of states was checked.

Noteworthy bacteriuria was characterized as more prominent than 10⁵ state framing units/mL of a solitary pathogen. Detaches were distinguished biochemically utilizing Triple Sugar Iron agar, Indol, Methyl Red, Voges Proskauer, and Citrate as indicated by standard microbiologic methods.⁽¹¹⁾

Statistical analysis

Information preparing and measurable examination were performed utilizing SPSS programming (adaptation 16.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Contrasts in gathering extents for absolute factors were surveyed utilizing χ^2 or Fisher's correct test. Strategic relapse investigation was performed to recognize chance variables for securing of ciprofloxacin-safe *E. coli* and ESBL generation. A *P* esteem < 0.05 was considered factually huge.

Results

An aggregate of 1,012 clean-get pee tests were handled amid a 6-month think about period (1 June 2009 until 30 November 2009), of which 196 (19.3%) yielded critical development of a solitary living being and were incorporated into this investigation. The mean age of the investigation populace was 42 with a scope of 18–90, and 71.9% of the patients were female. Of the 196 patients, 102 were outpatients what's more, 94 were hospitalized patients. The dispersion of uropathogens for outpatients and inpatients is appeared Table 1. *Escherichia coli* was the most widely recognized uropathogen, representing contaminations in 119 (60.7%) of the 196 patients; and happened essentially more every now and again in pees from outpatients (70.6%) than from inpatients (half). The extent of *Klebsiella* sp., *Proteus* sp., and *Citrobacter* sp. was moderately higher in inpatients (24.5%, 6.5%, 7.4%, and 6.4%) than in outpatients (13.7%, 5.9%, 2, and 1%). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was just separated from inpatients (3.2%). Gram-positive microorganism developed in just 3.1% of the positive societies and were never again incorporated into the examination. The

antimicrobial defenselessness consequences of the most regular uropathogens in the two healing facilities are abridged in the Table 2. By and large, disengages from inpatients were fundamentally more impervious to antimicrobials than outpatients. One hundred seventy-seven (90.3%) of confines were helpless to fosfomycin, and 99.5% of segregates were powerless to imipinem, which were the best medications. On the other hand, high opposition rates were identified among secludes from inpatients of Butare and Kigali college clinics for amoxicillin (92.9% versus 100%), amoxicillin-clavulanic corrosive (half versus 76.3%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (93.8% versus 71.4%), ciprofloxacin (61.3% versus 35.7%), ceftriaxone (57.1% versus 53.8%), ceftazidime (half versus half), nalidixic corrosive (64.3% versus 77.5%), and nitrofurantoin (78.6% versus 48.8%). For outpatients the comparing obstruction rates were 73.8% versus 85%, 40.5% versus 51.7%, 71.4% versus 78.3%, 21.4% versus 30%, 9.5% versus 13.3%, 11.9% versus 8.3%, 45.2% versus 41.6%, and 38.1% versus 36.7%. Strains of *E. coli*, disengaged from inpatients were increasingly impervious to ciprofloxacin and to third-age cephalosporin when contrasted and *E. coli* from outpatient strains and to different species from inpatients too (Table 3). The ESBLs were identified in 38.3% (36 of 94) of the strains from inpatients (13.8% of *E. coli*) and 5.9% (6 of 102) of all strains from outpatients (1.9% of *E. coli*). The univariate and multivariate investigation of hazard factors for ciprofloxacin-safe *E. coli* are appeared Table 4. In univariate examination, utilization of ciprofloxacin and different anti-toxins in the past a half year, history of UTI in the previous a year, nearness of present or past urinary catheter, being an inpatient or past hospitalization, and ESBL creation were observed to be altogether connected with ciprofloxacin opposition among *E. coli* secludes. Be that as it may, in a forward stepwise multivariate model, just the utilization of ciprofloxacin in the past a half year (chances proportion [OR] = 7.59; 95% certainty interim [CI] = 1.75–32.74; *P* < 0.001),

yet additionally the utilization of different anti-infection agents in the past a half year (OR = 1.02; 95% CI = 1.02– 2.34; P = 0.005), and creation of ESBL (OR = 19.32; 95% CI = 2.62– 142.16; P < 0.001) were observed to be related with ciprofloxacin obstruction among the *E. coli* disengages. The univariate and multivariate examination of hazard factors for ESBL energy are displayed in Table 5. In univariate investigation, past hospitalization, earlier or nearness of urinary tract catheterization,

utilization of ciprofloxacin, and third-age cephalosporin in the former a half year and being an inpatient were fundamentally connected with ESBL generation. In multivariate just the utilization of ciprofloxacin and third-age cephalosporin in the former a half year (OR = 3.05; 95% CI = 1.42– 6.58; P = 0.04 and additionally = 9.78; 95% CI = 2.71– 35.25; P = 0.01, separately); and being an inpatient (OR = 2.27; 95% CI = 1.79– 2.89; P < 0.001) were freely connected with ESBL creation.

Table 1 No. of isolates (%)

Organisms	Outpatients		Inpatients		Total	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	72	(70.6)	47	(50)	119	(60.7)
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	14	(13.7)	23	(24.5)	37	(18.9)
<i>Proteus</i> spp.	6	(5.9)	6	(6.4)	12	(6.1)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	2	(2)	7	(7.4)	9	(4.6)
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp.	1	(1)	6	(6.4)	7	(3.6)
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	2	(2)	1	(1.1)	3	(1.5)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0	(0)	3	(3.2)	3	(1.5)
Gram-positive	5	(4.9)	1	(1.1)	6	(3.1)
Total	102	(52)	94	(48)	196	(100)

Table 2 Decreased Susceptibility to Antimicrobial Agents in UTI Pathogens

Antimicrobial agent	N = 196		N = 42		N = 60		N = 80			
Amoxicillin	175	(89.3)	31	(73.8)	13	(92.9)	51	(85)	80	(73.8)
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid	116	(59.2)	17	(40.5)	8	(50)	31	(51.7)	61	(76.3)
Cefalotin	158	(80.6)	7	(16.7)	4	(28.6)	18	(30)	42	(52.5)
Ceftriaxone	63	(32.1)	4	(9.5)	8	(57.1)	8	(13.3)	43	(53.8)
Ceftazidime	57	(29.1)	5	(11.9)	7	(50)	5	(8.3)	40	(50)
Ciprofloxacin	81	(41.3)	9	(21.1)	5	(35.7)	18	(30)	49	(61.3)
Norfloxacin	78	(39.8)	11	(26.2)	6	(42.9)	16	(26.7)	45	(56.3)
Ofloxacin	71	(36.2)	7	(16.7)	4	(28.6)	18	(30)	42	(52.5)
Nalidixic acid*	86	(56.2)	19	(45.2)	9	(64.3)	20	(41.6)	38	(77.5)
Nitrofurantoin	88	(44.9)	16	(38.1)	11	(78.6)	22	(36.7)	39	(48.8)
Trimethopim/sulfamethoxazole	162	(82.7)	30	(71.4)	10	(71.4)	47	(78.3)	75	(93.8)
Gentamicin	91	(46.4)	12	(28.6)	7	(50)	21	(35)	51	(63.8)
Amikacin	81	(41.3)	20	(47.6)	3	(21.4)	20	(33.3)	38	(47.5)
Piperacillin	152	(77.6)	26	(61.9)	11	(78.6)	39	(65)	76	(95)
Fosfomycin/trometamol	19	(9.7)	3	(7.1)	5	(35.7)	5	(8.3)	6	(7.5)
Imipinem	1	(0.5)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	1	(1.3)

Discussion

Antimicrobial obstruction of microscopic organisms is viewed as an expanding worldwide concern. The greater part of UTIs are dealt with observationally, particularly in creating nations where patients frequently can't bear the cost of counseling a specialist or having research facility tests done. Nearby vulnerability examples of

uropathogens ought to be accessible to endorse suitable anti-infection agents. As far as anyone is concerned, this is the main investigation on susceptibilities of microorganisms causing UTI and the hazard factors for opposition and ESBL inspiration in Rwanda. This investigation affirms that *E. coli* is as yet the most well-known uropathogen disconnected from both in-and

outpatients, yet that different other microbes cause disease, particularly among inpatients (Table 1). These discoveries are in concurrence with comparable reconnaissance thinks about. 15– 18 Some investigations demonstrate a decrease of *E. coli*, being supplanted by different individuals from the Enterobacteriaceae. Our hospitalized patients had less *E. coli* and more *Klebsiella* spp. The low level of *E. coli* among clinic secludes in our examination related to that gotten by different agents. 15, 17 The UTIs are more continuous in ladies than in men, which relates to our discoveries on the grounds that 71.9% of our patients were female. 19 As can be normal, and as revealed in different investigations, the antimicrobial opposition of doctor's facility separates was higher than in outpatients. 20, 21 In our investigation, over 70% of the outpatients disconnect and over 90% of the hospitalized separates were impervious to Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. A few different investigations from the United States and overall demonstrate the development of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole obstruction in a critical rate (> 20%) of network gained UTI detaches. 17, 22 In an investigation of UTI from outpatients in Canada, Zhanel and others 5 discovered protection from amoxicillin and nitrofurantoin at rates of 41.0% and 0.1%, individually. Comparing normal qualities in the two healing facilities in our investigation were 79.4% and 37.4%. In this investigation, the higher extent of outpatient and inpatient *E. coli* strains impervious to amoxicillin (86% versus 100%), nitrofurantoin (26.4% versus 29.8%), nalidixic corrosive (45.1% versus 77.4%), amoxicillin-clavulanic corrosive (56% versus 70.2%), and gentamycin (36.1% versus 46.8%), is like what was accounted for by Aboderin and colleagues. 23 We reported a strikingly high protection from ciprofloxacin, which is of incredible concern on the grounds that fluoroquinolones are the medications of decision for first-line empiric treatment of both network and healing center obtained UTI in settings where protection from trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole surpasses 20%,

and they have turned out to be all the more normally endorsed as first-line anti-toxin treatment over the most recent couple of years. 24, 25 Resistance rates for ciprofloxacin against uncomplicated and confounded UTI strains were accounted for as 8.5% and 19.5%, separately, by Alos and associates. 7 Recently, Arslan and colleagues announced 17% and 38% obstruction rates for the uncomplicated and entangled UTI strains, individually. 21 Our rates were observed to be a lot higher: normal of 25.7% for the outpatient UTI strains and 48.5% for the inpatient UTI strains for the two healing centers. Opposition rates in disengages of outpatients were likewise higher contrasted and concentrates from different nations for the greater part of antimicrobials with the exception of third-age cephalosporin ceftriaxone and ceftazidime. Be that as it may, the protection from thirdgeneration cephalosporins was essentially higher in strains from inpatients (Table 2). Randrianirina and associates 16 have announced an expanding opposition rate to the third-age cephalosporin in their investigation in patients 65 years old. The discoveries of this examination demonstrate that β -lactams, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin, and ciprofloxacin should never again be utilized as exact medications of UTI in Rwanda, in view of their high rate of opposition. Options must be suggested, particularly for exact medications of uncomplicated UTI (cystitis) in outpatients. Fosfomycin-trometamol and imipinem were observed to be the best antimicrobials, 99% and 93% *E. coli* disengages tried being defenseless individually. Thus, fosfomycin-trometamol and imipinem might be the medications of decision for observational treatment of UTIs dependent on the in vitro information. Past examinations have revealed fosfomycin-trometamol opposition rates of 0.3% in 288 and no obstruction in 100 *E. coli* strains. 26 Ullah and associates 27 in Pakistan have recorded 86.9% of segregates being vulnerable to imipinem. Fosfomycin-trometamol has been suggested as a dependable experimental treatment

of uncomplicated UTI in light of its simple use (single portion), its great resistance, and its adequacy. 26, 28 Unfortunately, this anti-infection isn't yet accessible in Rwanda. The high weakness to imipenem saw in our examination is a reasonable sign that carbapenem opposition is still practically missing in Enterobacteriaceae segregated from UTI in the area. This can be clarified by the inconsistent utilization of this anti-infection in the creating scene in light of its expense and restricted accessibility. In our examination, > 50 years old is by all accounts inconsequential to ciprofloxacin opposition in *E. coli* strains by univariate investigation. Strangely, in an ongoing report, Nicoletti and collaborators 9 were not ready to demonstrate a relationship between's age (50 years and 65 years or more established, separately) and ciprofloxacin opposition. Interestingly, a few past examinations have recognized > 50 years old to be related with high ciprofloxacin rates. 7, 21, 29 Our inability to discover this affiliation could have been caused by few patients in our examination. In our multivariate model, we saw that ciprofloxacin and the utilization of different anti-microbials in the past a half year are free hazard factors adding to ciprofloxacin opposition, as recently revealed in other studies. 4, 21, 29, 30 For the first run through in our lab, we performed phenotypic affirmation tests for ESBL generation. The pervasiveness of ESBLs both in outpatients and inpatients has been explored and fluctuates among nations in a few investigations. 31, 32 In these, *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. represented by far most of separates. Our examination demonstrated a higher level of ESBL energy among *E. coli* segregates when contrasted and reports from Canada (0.26%), Europe (1.3%), and Tunisia (2.7%). 33–35 conversely, in concurrence with our information, Russian, Cameroonian, and Korean investigations uncovered that general pervasiveness of ESBLs among *E. coli* confines was 15.8%, 14.3%, and 9.3%, individually. 36–38 Studies directed by Akram and others 17 demonstrated a higher rate, than our examination,

of *E. coli* creating ESBL. Earlier anti-microbials, particularly ciprofloxacin, third-age cephalosporins, and remain in healing facility; significant hazard factors held in our multivariate examination, were likewise observed to be autonomous hazard factors for ESBL inspiration in past investigations. 39, all in all, Gram-negative creatures, particularly *E. coli* were the most widely recognized life forms disengaged. We found that anti-infection agents regularly utilized for the treatment of UTI in Bhubaneswar are a long way from viable.

References

1. Ronald AR, Pattulo MS. The natural history of urinary infection in adults. *Med Clin North Am* 1991; 75:299-312.
2. Liperky BA. Urinary tract infection in men: epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment. *Ann Intern Med* 1989; 111:138-50.
3. New CH. Urinary tract infection. *Am J Med* 1992 (suppl); 4A: 63-70.
4. Gebre-Selassie S. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: epidemiological, clinical and microbiological approach. *Ethiop Med J* 1998; 36:185-92.
5. Pastore LM, Savitz DA, Thorp JM Jr, et al. Predictors of symptomatic urinary tract infection after 20 weeks' gestation. *J Perinatol* 1999; 19:488-93.
6. Tambyah PA, Maki DG. Catheter associated urinary tract infection is rarely symptomatic; a prospective study of 1497 catheterized patients. *Arch Int Med* 2000; 160:678-82.
7. Van Nostrand JD, Junkins AD, Bartholdi RK. Poor predictive ability of urinalysis and microscopic examination to detect urinary tract infection. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2000; 113:709-13.
8. Srinivasa H, Parija SC, Bhattacharya S, Sehgal R. Incidence of ciprofloxacin resistance in urinary isolates Eastern Nepal. *J Comm Dis* 1999; 31:45-7.

9. Navaneeth BV, Belwadi S, Suganthi N. Urinary pathogens' resistance to common antibiotics: a retrospective analysis. *Trop Doct* 2002; 32:20-2.
10. Iqbal J, Rahman M, Kabir MS, Rahman M. Increasing ciprofloxacin resistance among prevalent urinary tract bacterial isolates in Bangladesh. *Jpn J Med Sci Biol* 1997; 50:241-50.
11. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute , 2008. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: Eighteenth Informational Supplement 100-S18. Wayne, PA : Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute .
12. Raka L , Mulliqi-Osmani G , Berisha L , Begolli L , Omeragiq S , Parsons L , Salfinger M , Jaka A , Kurti A , Jakupi X , 2004 . Etiology and susceptibility of urinary tract isolates in Kosova . *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 23 (Suppl 1): S2 – S5 .
13. Randrianirina F , Soares JL , Carod JF , Ratsima E , Thonnier V , Combe P , Grosjean P , Talarmin A , 2007 . Antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens that cause community-acquired urinary tract infections in Antananarivo, Madagascar . *J Antimicrob Chemother* 59: 309 – 312 .
14. Akram M , Shahid M , Khan AU , 2007 . Etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in J N M C Hospital Aligarh, India . *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 6: 4 .
15. Andrade SS , Sader HS , Jones RN , Pereira AS , Pignatari AC , Gales AC , 2006 . Increased resistance to first-line agents among bacterial pathogens isolated from urinary tract infections in Latin America: time for local guidelines? *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 101: 741 – 748 .
16. Schaeffer AJ , Rajan N , Cao Q , Anderson BE , Pruden DL , Sensibar J , Duncan JL , 2001 .Host pathogenesis in urinary tract infections . *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 17: 245 – 251.
17. Hryniewicz K , Szczypa K , Sulikowska A, Jankowski K , Betlejewska K , Hryniewicz W ,2001 . Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial strains isolated from urinary tract infections in Poland. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 47: 773 – 780.
18. Arslan H, Azap OK , Ergonul O , Timurkaynak F , 2005 . Risk factors for ciprofloxacin resistance among *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from community-acquired urinary tract infections in Turkey . *J Antimicrob Chemother* 56: 914 – 918 .
19. Karlowsky JA , Kelly LJ , Thornsberry C , Jones ME , Sahm DF , 2002 . Trends in antimicrobial resistance among urinary tract infection isolates of *Escherichia coli* from female outpatients in the United States . *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 46: 2540 – 2545.
20. Aboderin OA , Abdu AR , Odetoyn BW , Lamikanra A , 2009 . Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains from urinary tract infections . *J Natl Med Assoc* 101: 1268 – 1273 .
21. Goettsch W , van Pelt W , Nagelkerke N, Hendrix MG , Buiting AG , Petit PL , Sabbe LJ , van Griethuysen AJ , de Neeling AJ, 2000. Increasing resistance to fluoroquinolones in *Escherichia coli* from urinary tract infections in The Netherlands . *J Antimicrob Chemother* 46: 223 – 228 .
22. Hooton TM , 2003 . Fluoroquinolones and resistance in the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 22 (Suppl 2): 65 – 72.
23. Schito GC, 2003. Why fosfomycin trometamol as first line therapy for uncomplicated UTI? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 22 (Suppl 2): 79 – 83 .
24. Ullah F, Malik SA , Ahmed J , 2009 . Antimicrobial susceptibility and ESBL prevalence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated.